

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TYLER DIVISION**

**CHARLES KIMBLE, JR,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**ANGELA JONES, ET AL.,**

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-CV-00122**

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**


The action was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636. On March 17, 2022, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that Plaintiff's case be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1). Docket No. 32. Plaintiff filed objections. Docket No. 33.

The Court reviews objected-to portions of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation de novo. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 72 and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) ("A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings and recommendations to which objection is made."). The Court conducting a de novo review examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded on other grounds by statute*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Having reviewed Plaintiff's objections de novo, the Court concludes that the objections are without merit and that the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct. It is accordingly

**ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 32) is **ADOPTED**. It is further **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's suit is **DISMISSED** with **PREJUDICE** pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1). All motions not previously ruled on are **DENIED AS MOOT**.

So **ORDERED** and **SIGNED** this **6th** day of **April, 2022**.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JEREMY D. KERNODLE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE